

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

1800.552D

91/1188/8899

REGULATORY STANDARDS AND COMPLIANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM

SUBJ:

A. 72

- 1 <u>PURPOSE</u>. This order describes the philosophy, purpose, and procedures to be used in conducting the Regulatory Standards and Compliance ((AXR)) Evaluation Program.
- DISTRIBUTION. This order is distributed to the Executive Director for AXR, the Associate Administrators for Aviation Standards, and Regulation and Certification; to division level in the Offices of Aircraft Certification (AIR), Flight Standards ((AFS)), Aviation Medicine ((AAM)), Civil Aviation Security ((ACS)), Program and Resource Management ((APR)), Rulemaking (ARM), Accident Investigation ((AAI)), and the Aviation Standards National Field Office ((AVN)); the Civil Aviation Security, Flight Standards, Aviation Medicine, Aircraft Certification Divisions in the regions; Civil Aviation Security, and the medical staff, at the Technical Center; Civil Aeromedical Institute, and the Civil Aviation Security Division, located at the Aeronautical Center.
- 3 <u>CANCELLATION</u>. Order **1800.52C**, Regulatory Standards and Compliance Evaluation Program, dated October **3**, **1988**, is canceled.
- 4. <u>BACKGROUND</u>. This order provides specific information for **AXR** program offices to implement the requirements of Order **1800.2E**. Order **1800.2E**, Evaluation and Appraisal of Agency Programs, establishes policies and guidelines for reviewing and evaluating agency programs and requires all Administrator's Management Team Members to establish and operate an evaluation program.
- 5. <u>SCOPE</u>. This order applies to the review and evaluation of all AXR programs in Washington headquarters and regions/centers. Regulatory Standards and Compliance programs include activities directed by, and/or conducted in AFS, AIR, ACS, AAM, APR, ARM, AAI, and AVN.
- 6. OBJECTIVES. AXR evaluation programs are designed to:
 - a. Assess overall program effectiveness:
- b. Ensure that AXR programs and associated activities are administered in accordance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, policies, directives, and guidance material;

Distribution: A-W(VS))-11; A-W(WS/FS/AAM/CSS/PRVMN)22; A-X(CS/FS/AAM/CDD)22; A-Y-Z(AM/CS/AY)-2

9/18/59

c. Appraise the effectiveness of program guidance provided by all elements of the AXR organization and identify areas where improvement or revision is needed;

- d. Promote program standardization;
- **e.** Promote increased efficiency and effectiveness in managing, administering, and operating **AXR** programs by exchanging information, ideas, methods, and systems, between Washington headquarters offices, regions and centers:
- f. Determine the degree, quality, and timeliness of service provided to users:
- g. Help ensure that performance is measured against
 established goals and objectives;
- h. Strengthen open, honest communications between management and employees, and between the agency, industry, and the general public:
- i. Help ensure that effective human resource management* practices are used by managers, supervisors and employees;
- **L.** Determine whether resources are adequate to perform priority functions:
- k Identify sound management practices in programs, and facilitate the transfer of these practices throughout AXR.
- 7 <u>EVALUATION TYPES AND CONDUCT</u>: **AXR** evaluation staffs conduct both effectiveness and compliance evaluations. Detailed guidance and performance expectations for the **AXR** Evaluation Program are included in Appendix 1.
- a. <u>Effectiveness evaluations</u>: These identify and analyze program objectives, measure the extent to which results are being achieved, assess management effectiveness, and identify recommendations to improve program operation.
- b. <u>Compliance evaluations</u>: These measure the extent to which regional divisions and field offices implement and operate line programs in accordance with national or regional policy, procedures, or regulation.
- c. <u>Conducting evaluations</u>: AXR headquarters evaluation staffs primarily conduct effectiveness evaluations of their respective line programs. AXR regional evaluation staffs, and AVN evaluation staff, primarily conduct compliance evaluations. Regional evaluation offices may also assist

Page 2 Par 6

9/18/89 1800.5**22**D

with headquarters effectiveness evaluations, or perform their own. AXR headquarters evaluation staffs also provide national oversight of compliance evaluations to assure comparable data collection from each region, and facilitate national compliance data compilation.

- d <u>Timing</u>: Effectiveness evaluations will be conducted as needed, at the request of program office management. Compliance evaluations will be conducted as needed, but at least triennially for each program.
- 8 EVALUATION PROGRAM VALUE. AXR program effectiveness and compliance evaluations are an integral part of every AXR office's managerial responsibility. Evaluations provide the data and analysis necessary for managers to fulfill their responsibility to periodically assess whether their programs are accomplishing what they were intended to, and for making-adjustments if actual performance deviates from planned. It is an indispensable tool to be used in developing policies, guidelines, standards, systems, and procedures for agency-wide application. Furthermore, it is essential for . .: determining the overall effectiveness of AXR programs in meeting the needs of the agency, its employees, and the general public.

9. RESPONSIBILITIES.

a. Office of **Program** and Resource Management (APR)..

- (1) Evaluations: Conduct or participate in AXR effectiveness evaluations, as requested by program office management or AXR evaluation staffs. APR Will serve as the primary evaluation staff for conducting evaluations in APR, ARM, and AATm
- (2) <u>Procedures:</u> Develop **AXR** evaluation procedural guidance for use by all the **AXR** Offices and Services.
- (3) Training: Provide or facilitate formal or informal training of AXR evaluation officers and evaluation team members.
- (4) Assist/Consult: Provide advice and assistance to AXR Offices and Services to ensure that they have evaluation programs that meet agency and AXR evaluation guidance; provide consulting services upon request to aid evaluation design, data collection methodology selection, and data interpretation.

1800.5320

(5) <u>Program effectiveness</u>: Determine whether the overall AXR evaluation system is operating **efficiently** and effectively. APR will meet with program office management as necessary, and AXR-11, if necessary, to ensure that any deficiencies identified in AXR evaluation staffing, resources, or conduct are alleviated,

- (6) Planming: Compile individual AXR office evaluation plans into a unified AXR evaluation plan and submit, by September 1, through the Executive Director, to ASF-1 for key safety area evaluations (i.e. ACS, AFS, AIR), and AAD-2 for all others.
- (7) <u>Monitorimg</u>: Establish an evaluation automated tracking system (EATS) for use by all **AXR** evaluation staffs that will identify and provide summary information about planned, ongoing, and completed evaluations as described in paragraph 10a..
- (8) <u>Coordination</u>: Serve as a conduit for coordination between **AXR** evaluation offices and **AXYD-2** and **AXYD-1**.
- (9) Accomplishment reportting: Provide AXR offices specific reporting procedures for evaluation accomplishments. Compile the evaluation accomplishment reports from each AXR office into a unified AXR accomplishment report and submit, by November 1, through the Executive Director, to AAD-2, and ASF.
 - (10) Maintain a master file of all AXR evaluations.

b. AXR Office Directors-

- (1) <u>Planning</u>: Office directors are responsible for developing annual evaluation plans covering the functional areas under their direction. The plans are to include (a) a schedule that shows the organizations, programs, and activities to be evaluated, (b) the type of evaluation (i.e. effectiveness or compliance), (c) the-evaluations's scope, (d) resource requirements, and (e) planned start and completion dates.
- (2) Planning coordination: Each director's plan is to be submitted to APR by August 15 for compilation and submission to ASF-1 and AAD-2...

Page 4 Par 9

9/18/89

(3) <u>Performing evaluations</u>: AXR office directors are responsible for ensuring that effectiveness evaluations are conducted on their office's line programs as needed, and that compliance evaluations of their office's line programs are conducted as needed, but at least triennially.

- (4) Reporting coordination: As evaluations are completed during the fiscal year, provide one copy of each evaluation report and related follow-up action to APR-4000, and one copy to either ASF-1 for evaluations covering key safety areas (AFS,, ACS, AIR), or AAND-2 for those covering other than key safety areas.
- (5) Evaluation officer: Designate an evaluation officer to administer the evaluation program, and coordinate evaluation information and assistance requirements with APR.
- (6) Directive: Issue an evaluation directive to implement this order: or utilize this order as implementing authority. Ensure that the evaluation system complies with the overall agency evaluation system.
- (7) Joint Evaluations: Ensure that joint | . . (evaluations are undertaken where practicable to conserve resources and to minimize the impact on organizations being evaluated.
- (8) Regional guidelines: Provide regional/field counterparts with compliance evaluation guidelines to assure comprehensive. and timely coverage of major programs in the field.
- (9) Uniform compliance evaluations: AXR headquarters evaluation staffs will work with regional evaluation officers to prepare a single, unified data collection instrument to be used by each region for evaluating program compliance. A distinct and suitable unified data collection instrument will be formulated to meet each program's compliance evaluation needs, This will eliminate effort duplication associated with each region preparing its own data collection instrument, assure that key areas of national interest are covered, and provide the means to obtain consistent data collection, facilitating national compliance data base compilation for each program. Regions may supplement the uniform data collection instrument to cover any program aspects unique to that region. data collection instrument will be used for subsequent compliance evaluations of that program, to provide consistent data for trend analysis. Modifications will be made only if program changes make the previous questions obsolete.

Par 9

1800.5520

(10) Compliance evaluation oversight: Headquarters evaluation offices will monitor the effectiveness of regional compliance evaluation activities, and as necessary, conduct a formal effectiveness evaluation of program compliance evaluation activities.

- (11) Tracking system: Participate in EATS implementation to ensure that the system meets AXR requirements and provides the means to monitor evaluation activities and assure that evaluation findings/action items are acted upon in a timely manner.
- (12) Reporting accomplishments: Submit a report describing their evaluation program's accomplishments during the fiscal year to APR by October 15..

10. EVALUATION MONITORING AND REPORTS.

- a. Monitoring: Information on planned evaluations including the topic, a brief description of the objectives or intent, planned starting date, and resource requirements are entered in EATS. The information is updated as necessary, as progress occurs on the evaluation. Once the evaluation is completed, EATS will maintain a summary of information regarding the evaluation's cost, findings, conclusions, recommendations, approved follow-up action, the milestones for actions, status, and responsible office.
- b **Findings:** Evaluation reports should include both positive and negative findings, discussion, and recommendations.
- **c.** Findings not negotiated: Evaluation reports should be issued in final without negotiating findings with the office being evaluated. In some cases, a draft report may be coordinated with the office being evaluated to ensure the accuracy of technical data.
- Resolving findings disagreements: Disagreements with recommendations or findings, are handled during the follow-up phase. In such cases, the program manager shall meet with the evaluation team leader subsequent to report issuance to resolve disagreements or conflicting opinions, and identify compromise or alternative actions to resolve problem areas. Disagreements persisting following the meeting shall be resolved by the program office director, with the resolution noted in the action plan described in paragraph 11.

Page 6 Par 9

9/18/89

e. Reporting: The final report should be submitted by the team leader to the client (requesting official) for issuance within 45 working days after completing the on-site visit, or as agreed with the client and documented in the prospectus. Safety related or other critical findings shall be reported immediately to the requesting official, and coordinated with ASF-1.

- f Official use only: Evaluation reports shall be marked "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (PUBLIC AVAILABILITY TO DETERMINED UNDER 5 U.S.C. 552)." Requests for disclosure shall be handled in accordance with Order 1200.23, Public Availability of Information, and Order 1600.15D, Control and Protection of "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY" Information, and where applicable, FAR Part 191, Withholding Security Information from Disclosure under the Air Transportation Security Act of 1974, Classified information shall not be included in evaluation reports.
- FOLLOW-UP ACTION. Within 30 days of receiving the final 11. ewallatticon report, the head of the or Lanization evaluated should advise their Office Director of actions taken or planned in response to the evaluation recommendations .. - Theywill provide, and coordinate with their evaluation office, an action plan describing: planned actions: milestones for starting and completing recommended changes; decisions made by program office directors to resolve disputed findings; and identify recommendations that will not be implemented, and the rationale for not implementing them. The frequency of subsequent progress reports will be identified in the action plan, but will be provided no less than quarterly. will strive to resolve all follow-up actions within 6 months, or within agreed upon time-frames established in the action Copies of the action plan and follow-up reports will be coordinated with AND-2 and ASF as appropriate.

Anthony D. Broderick

Acting Executive Director for Regulatory

Standards and Compliance, AXR-1

		v

1: TYPES OF EVALUATIONS. .

- a. AXR headquarters evaluation staffs primarily conduct effectiveness evaluations. These evaluations assess how effectively programs achieve specified goals and objectives. The evaluation's purpose is to assure that the program effectively achieves its intended objectives, and that program management is competent, constructive, and efficient. These types of evaluations are directed towards entire programs or specific program segments.
- b. AXR regional office evaluation staffs primarily conduct compliance evaluations. Compliance evaluations assess whether programs are operating as designed, and whether program management is conforming with the pertinent order, rules, or regulations. They differ from effectiveness evaluations in that they do not necessarily evaluate the extent to which program objectives are actually achieved, or the efficiency of the program's design for achieving them: Rather; they seek to ensure that the program is implemented in accordance with national, regional, and local policy, procedure and regulation.

2. MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.

AXR top managers visibly and actively support the AXR evaluation program.

Top management support is critical for obtaining staff cooperation necessary for conducting evaluations, and for implementing evaluation recommendations. Management is, to the maximum extent possible, involved with: team training prior to each evaluation: evaluation entrance/exit conferences; and 'on-site **pre-brieffing** and discussion of evaluation findings. Management also supports the evaluation program by displaying willingness to release key staff people to **serve'ass** ad hoc evaluation team members.

3. SCHEDULING EVALUATIONS.

Effectiveness evaluations are scheduled based on need.

a. **Planming:** Prior to the beginning of each fiscal **year;** the evaluation officer meets with the office director, and their top management team, to determine what programs

they are interested in evaluating during the next fiscal **year**. The evaluation officer has a consulting relationship with the office director that provides for a two way information flow in which the evaluation officer, based on their experience, may suggest programs for evaluation.

- b <u>Scheduling</u>: Headquarters evaluation schedules are flexible enough to serve client needs for evaluations that arise during the fiscal year. If the need arises to perform an unscheduled evaluation, the evaluation staff does their best to accommodate priority issues.
- c. Compliance evaluation schedulimg: Program compliance evaluations are scheduled on a rotating cyclical basis, to assure policy, regulatory, and procedural compliance in each region, branch, and field office. Each program is evaluated as needed, but at least triennially.
- d <u>Tracking</u>: Once the evaluation plan is established,. information regarding the topic, the evaluation's intent or objectives, planned starting and completion dates, and resource requirements are entered in EATS. The **system** facilitates **AXR**-wide evaluation planning and staffing.

4 RESOURCES.

AXR provides adequate resources for implementing effective and meaningful evaluations.

- **a.** <u>Staffing:</u> Each **AXR** office, except **AAI** and ARM, has a dedicated program evaluation staff, of one or more persons, who are not assigned other significant duties.
- b <u>Evaluation Team</u>: **AXR** evaluation teams are comprised of a small, manageable number of people, normally not exceeding. **6.** The actual size and composition of the **team** will be influenced by the nature and scope of the evaluation. The evaluation **team** is led by a full **time** evaluation staff, **member**, and staffed as necessary with ad hoc team members from other **AXR** evaluation staffs, and headquarters or regional professional/technical staff.
- **CL** <u>Time</u>: Each proposed evaluation is analyzed and carefully planned to allow sufficient time to provide meaningful advance preparation and team training, (see Standard #5), on-site data collection, data analysis and report production.

- d <u>Funding</u>: All costs associated with a given evaluation are normally borne by the **AXR** office doing the evaluation; this includes travel **costs** for **team** members regardless of their home office. All costs associated with a given evaluation are tracked by EATS. The normal budget process is used for planning evaluation funding.
- **e.** Equipment: Team members on evaluations are issued lap top computers, as necessary, for efficient data development and report production in the field.

5. ADVANCE PREPARATION.

<u>Substantial time and effort is devoted to planning</u> evaluations,

- a. <u>Notification</u>: Offices **to** be evaluated are notified 3 to 6 months in advance. Exceptions to the notification requirement may be made for special or urgent evaluation& with **AXR** program office management approval.
- b <u>Objectives identified</u>: The overall evaluation objective identifies the problem or the situation cited by the client requesting the evaluation. Subsequently, the team leader, in concert with the evaluation **team** members, identifies multiple specific sub-objectives, addressing each element of the overall objective.
- c. Evaluation planned: Prior to initiating data gathering, the team leader completes an evaluation plan. This plan identifies the evaluation's overall objectives, specific sub-objectives, methodology for completing each objective, and the necessary data collection tasks. In addition, the team leader is responsible for developing data collection questionnaires and program records review quidelines.
- d. <u>Criteria Identified</u>: Criteria are identified prior to **the** evaluation that provide the basis for evaluating data obtained. The criteria identify expected or desired program performance, and are used as the basis for evaluating the program's actual performance or condition.

- e. Team Training: For earth evaluation, about 3 to 5 days is dedicated to training the entire evaluation team (as a group) on the evaluation objectives, process, criteria, logistics, report requirements, equipment use, team-member responsibilities, controversial issues, etc. The exact amount and extent of training should be based on the nature and scope of the evaluation and the experience level of the evaluation team. This training occurs immediately preceding the on-site segment and is tailored for each evaluation.
- f Advance Data Collection: Advance data collection begins immediately following evaluation notification, It includes orders, regulations, policy directives, and other fundamental background information, It is used to provide basic guidance for identifying sub-objectives, necessary tasks, and interview questions. The data is thoroughly analyzed and assembled for easy use by the team,
- g. Logistics: All logistics arrangements for AXR . evaluations are made in advance by the office doing the evaluation, including airline, hotel, G-car and rental car reservations; obtaining maps and driving instructions as required: scheduling on-site interviews (specific dates and times); itinerary/schedule preparation for each team member, etc.

6. <u>CONDUCTING EVALUATIONS</u>.

- a. <u>Entrance Conference</u>: An entrance conference is scheduled for each evaluation. It includes as many team members as practicable, the regional administrator or office director, and the head of the organization being evaluated* The entrance conference explains the evaluation's purpose and methodology, The team should also offer to review, if possible, any specific areas requested by the regional administrator or head of the organization being evaluated.
- b. Exit Conference: An exit conference is scheduled by the term leader, including the same people as the entrance conference, for the purpose of briefly describing major findings and recommendations for improvement, if available at that time,

7 TEAM MANAGEMENT.

AXR evaluations are team efforts, effectively managed and controlled by a Team Leader.

An AXR evaluation team leader manages and facilitates the evaluation, and is responsible for assuring its success. The team leader does not necessarily carry an "equal load" with respect to on-site data collection. AXR evaluation teams usually do not conduct joint interviews, but the team process provides for extensive team sharing, discussion, and conflict resolution. To facilitate the team environment, during data acquisition and analysis, the team leader may chose to convene periodic meetings to identify interim findings, discuss critical issues, and identify and resolve any problems. AXR evaluation reports reflect a team effort and team agreement that there is sufficient evidence to support each finding and recommendation.

8 REPORT.

<u>AXR</u> evaluation reports provide timely, concise, relevant information on program operation.

- a. Types of findings. Evaluation reports include both positive and negative findings and recommendations. It is equally as important to inform managers of program aspects that are going well as it is to point out deficiencies. Positive findings provide positive reinforcement and help form the basis for wider implementation of effective management techniques. Likewise, it is critical to identify deficiencies to provide assistance in improving program performance. Negative findings are presented constructively, with possible remedies identified.
- b <u>Executive summary</u>. **AXR** evaluation reports include an executive summary. All executive summaries explain the purpose of the evaluation, provide enough background information to describe the subject program, and what was evaluated. Executive summaries for headquarters effectiveness evaluations enumerate the evaluation's significant, basic program effectiveness findings and recommendations, while those for regional compliance evaluations identify majors areas of program compliance and non-compliance.

- **c.** Reportimmy style. The main body of the report is succinct, to the point, and able to quickly provide critical information, findings, conclusions, and recommendations to busy managers without wading through extensive data discussion. When necessary for detailed understanding, data and discussion is presented in attached or detached appendices.
- d Report content, Headquarters effectiveness evaluation reports present findings and recommendations regarding the program's effectiveness at achieving its goals and objectives, These findings are based on the evaluation team's collective opinion using advance data, on-site data, their own experience, knowledge, and judgment. Regional compliance evaluation reports concentrate on identifying areas of compliance and individual discrepancies between actual program practice and handbook references. Specific discrepancies are enumerated, their significance identified, and recommended remedies indicated.
- **e.** Report format, The specific report format should be tailored to meet the purpose of the evaluation, and the needs of the client requesting the evaluation. As a rule, the report should be concise and provide key findings and information in a format that makes the information readily available, without having to wade through extensive data discussions. The report should include:
 - (1) Cover. Title of evaluation and dates conducted.
- (2) <u>Approval Page</u>. Includes names of team members and signature line for team leader approval.
- (3) Executive Summary. Contains the information described in paragraph **8b.**

The text for each evaluation topic should include:

- (4) <u>Topic</u>. The evaluation topic should be cited, and its significance explained, if possible.
- (5) <u>Finding/Conclusion</u>. A one-sentence statement that summarizes the basic finding, followed by a brief description of the rationale supporting it.
- (6) $\underline{\text{Recommendation}(s)}$. Describe the action items necessary to resolve problems or improve the situation, and identify the office responsible for carrying it out.

- f Not Coordinated/Negotiated. Evaluation findings and recommendations are **not** coordinated or negotiated with anyone. Evaluation reports are not issued in draft, only in final. In some cases a draft report may be coordinated or discussed with the office being evaluated to ensure the accuracy of technical data; however, findings, conclusions, and recommendations are not negotiable.
- gg, Review. AXR evaluation reports are prepared by the evaluation team, and reviewed by the cognizant manager of the evaluation team. After the managers approval, the report is prepared in final and presented directly to the client (typically the office director), without intervening management review,

9. FOLLOW-UP.

Formal follow-up process facilitates prompt, responsive action on recommendations.

- a. Resolving disagreements. A program manager may disagree with an evaluation's conclusions, findings or recommendations. While these aspects of the report are not negotiable, the program manager shall meet with the evaluation team leader subsequent to report issuance in attempt to resolve disagreements or conflicting opinions, and identify compromise or alternative actions to resolve problem areas. Disagreements persisting following the meeting should be resolved by the program office director, with the resolution noted in the action plan described in the Order's paragraph 11.
- b. Action plan. Within 30 days of receiving the final evaluation report, the head of the organization evaluated advises their Office Director of actions taken or planned in response to evaluation recommendations. They provide, and coordinate with their evaluation office, an action plan describing planned actions, time-frames for starting and completing recommended changes, decisions made by program office directors to resolve disputed findings, and identify recommendations that will not be implemented, and the

rationale for not implementing them, The frequency of subsequent progress reports will be identified in the action plan, but will be provided no less than quarterly. Managers will strive to resolve all follow-up actions within 6 months, or within agreed upon time-frames established in the action plan. Copies of the action plan and follow-up reports will be coordinated with AAND-2 and ASF as appropriate.

ct. Tracking follow-up. Information on completed evaluations is entered into EATS to facilitate follow-up, The system contains information on evaluation findings, conclusions, recommendations, responsible program office, their schedule of actions alad status,